arXiv:hep-th/0109020v1 4 Sep 2001

The Fuzzy Kähler Coset Space with the Darboux Coordinates

Shogo Aoyama* and Takahiro Masuda†

Department of Physics
Shizuoka University
Ohya 836, Shizuoka
Japan

February 1, 2008

Abstract

The Fedosov deformation quantization of the symplectic manifold is determined by a 1-form differential r. We identify a class of r for which the $\star$ product becomes the Moyal product by taking appropriate Darboux coordinates, but invariant by canonically transforming the coordinates. This respect of the $\star$ product is explained by studying the fuzzy algebrae of the Kähler coset space.

PACS: 02.40.Gh, 04.62.+v
Keywords: Noncommutative geometry, Deformation quantization, Fuzzy space

*e-mail: spsaoya@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp
†e-mail: stmasud@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp

The discovery of non-commutative quantum field theories in the M theory and the string theory[1] revived an old idea of non-commutativity of the spacetime in physics, and gave rise to the intensive current activity among the field theorists.(See for instance [2] for the recent review and references therein.) Their consideration was mainly focused on non-commutativity in the flat spacetime. No doubt the final goal is to study non-commutative quantum field theory in a curved spacetime. One of the approaches towards to this direction[3, 4] is the deformation quantization of the symplectic manifold by the Fedosov formalism[4].

The Fedosov deformation quantization is determined by the 1-form differential r, which is defined as a solution to eq. (8). It depends on many things, i.e., local coordinates of the symplectic manifold, a symplectic connection Γ, a local frame θ and an initial condition μ, as can be seen from eq. (9). But any solution r defines a non-commutative $\star$ product which satisfies the associativity. It is suspected that for a class of the 1-form differential r the $\star$ product would become simple and have invariance by some coordinate transformations. In this letter we discuss the issue in the Darboux coordinates. We identify the class of r (see eq. (17) for which the $\star$ product reduces to the Moyal product by retaking an appropriate set of the Darboux coordinates. It then follows that the Moyal product thus obtained is invariant by any canonical transformation of the Darboux coordinates.

As an application we study the fuzzy algebrae for the Kähler coset space G/H in the Darboux coordinates. In ref. [5] it was shown that the Killing potentials satisfy in the holomorphic coordinates the fuzzy algebrae

$$[M^A(z,\overline{z}), M^B(z,\overline{z})]_\star = -i(c_1\hbar + c_3\hbar^3 + c_5\hbar^5 + \cdots)\sum_{A=1}^{dim\ G} f^{ABC}M^C(z,\overline{z}), \qquad (1)$$

$$\sum_{A=1}^{dim\ G} M^A(z,\overline{z}) \star M^A(z,\overline{z}) = R + c_2\hbar^2 + c_4\hbar^4 + \cdots\ , \qquad (2)$$

when the coset space is irreducible. The coefficients $c_1, c_2, c_3, \cdots$ are numerical constants. In this letter we find these coefficients as $c_i = 0$ for $i \geq 3$, working in a particular set of the Darboux coordinates. The invariance of the Moyal product, stated above, implies that this simple form of the fuzzy algebrae remains to be the same in whichever set of the Darboux coordinates we work.

We start with reviewing on the Fedosov construction in the generalized form[4, 8]. Consider a real $2N$-dimensional symplectic manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with local coordinates $(x^1, x^2, \cdots, x^{2N})$. The symplectic 2-form is given by

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{ij}dx^i \wedge dx^j.$$

We introduce a local frame of $T^*\mathcal{M}$ given by an isomorphism

$$dx^i \longrightarrow \theta^i(x)\ .$$

The local 1-forms θ^i are not necessarily closed ($d\theta^i \neq 0$). In this local frame ω is transported to

$$\Omega_0 = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{ij} d\theta^i \wedge d\theta^j. \tag{3}$$

We think of deforming a q-form differential $a(x)$ as formal power series:

$$a(x) \longrightarrow a(x;y) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!q!} a(x)_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_p j_1 j_2 \cdots j_q} y^{i_1} y^{i_2} \cdots y^{i_p} \theta^{j_1} \theta^{j_2} \cdots \theta^{j_q} \ , \tag{4}$$

where $a_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_q}(x) = a(x)$, and $(y^1, y^2, \cdots, y^{2N})$ are deformation coordinates. For such deformed differentials the $\circ$ product is defined by

$$a(x;y) \circ b(x;y) = \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} (-\frac{i\hbar}{2})^n \omega^{i_1 j_1} \omega^{i_2 j_2} \cdots \omega^{i_n j_n} \partial^y_{i_1} \partial^y_{i_2} \cdots \partial^y_{i_n} a \partial^y_{j_1} \partial^y_{j_2} \cdots \partial^y_{j_n} b. \tag{5}$$

The $\star$ product is induced from the $\circ$ product as

$$a(x) \star b(x) = a(x;y) \circ b(x;y)|_{y=0} \ . \tag{6}$$

We also define the covariant derivative ∂ and the form-changing operators δ and δ^{-1}:

$$\partial a = da + [\Gamma, a]_\circ \ , \qquad \delta a = \theta^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} a = [\omega_{ij} y^i \theta^j, a]_\circ \ ,$$
$$\delta^{-1} a_{pq} = \frac{1}{p+q} y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i} a_{pq} \ ,$$

where Γ is the symplectic connection of the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ and a_{pq} is the part of degree p in y and order q in θ of (4).

The deformation (4) is determined so as to obey the constraint

$$Da \equiv \partial a - \delta a + \frac{i}{\hbar}[r, a]_\circ = 0 \ , \tag{7}$$

in which r is a 1-form satisfying

$$\delta r = \partial(\omega_{ij} y^i \theta^j) + \mathcal{R} + \partial r + \frac{i}{\hbar} r \circ r \ . \tag{8}$$

Eq. (8) is a sufficient condition to guarantee $D^2 a = 0$. It has a unique solution obeying $\delta^{-1} r = \mu$. It can be shown by iterating the equation in the form

$$r = \delta\mu + \delta^{-1}[\ \partial(\omega_{ij} y^i \theta^j) + \mathcal{R} + \partial r + \frac{i}{\hbar} r \circ r \ ] \ . \tag{9}$$

Once a solution for r given, the deformation (4) is explicitly found by solving the constraint (7). The solution is unique in the case where $a(x;y)$ is a 0-form differential.

According to the Darboux theorem there exist local coordinates in the neighborhood of any point $x \in \mathcal{M}$, called the Darboux coordinates, such that

$$\omega = dp_1 \wedge dq^1 + \cdots\cdots + dp_N \wedge dq^N. \tag{10}$$

In the first place we study deformation quantization by simply choosing the local frame as given by

$$(\theta^1, \theta^2, \cdots, \theta^{2N}) = (dp_1, \cdots, dp_N, dq^1, \cdots, dq^N) \ , \tag{11}$$

with which $\Omega_0 = \omega$. In the Darboux coordinates one can take the symplectic connection Γ to vanish so that $\mathcal{R} = 0$. Then eq. (9) has the trivial solution $r = 0$ by choosing $\mu = 0$. Solving the constraint (9) with $r = 0$ we find the unique deformation

$$a(p, q) \longrightarrow a(p, q; \xi, \zeta) = a(p + \xi, q + \zeta) \ , \tag{12}$$

for a 0-form differential. Here N-tuples of ξ and ζ are deformation coordinates in the local frame (11). Then the $\star$ product (6) reduces to the ordinary Moyal product. The Darboux coordinates are not unique. We may have

$$\omega = dp'_1 \wedge dq'^1 + \cdots\cdots + dp'_N \wedge dq'^N \ ,$$

by a canonical transformation

$$(p, q) \longrightarrow (p'(p, q), q'(p, q)) \ . \tag{13}$$

It is evident that the above arguments hold in any of these coordinates.

Next we study the deformation quantization in the local frame where

$$\Omega_0 = \theta_1 \wedge \theta^1 + \cdots\cdots + \theta_N \wedge \theta^N \ ,$$

with an isomorphism

$$\theta_\alpha = f_\alpha^\beta dp_\beta + g_{\alpha\beta} dq^\beta \ , \qquad \theta^\alpha = h^{\alpha\beta} dp_\beta + j_\beta^\alpha dq^\beta \ . \tag{14}$$

Here $f_\alpha^\beta, g_{\alpha\beta}, h^{\alpha\beta}$ and j_α^β are local functions of the Darboux coordinates p and q. We assume the isomorphism to be symplectic, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} f_\alpha^\beta j_\beta^\gamma - g_{\alpha\beta} h^{\beta\gamma} &= \delta_\alpha^\gamma, \\ f_\beta^\alpha h^{\beta\gamma} - f_\beta^\gamma h^{\beta\alpha} &= j_\alpha^\beta g_{\beta\gamma} - j_\gamma^\beta g_{\beta\alpha} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Then Ω_0 is equal to ω again. But the local frame (14) cannot be related to (11) by a canonical transformation since $d\theta^\alpha \neq 0$ and $d\theta_\alpha \neq 0$ generically. One may wonder if taking this local frame would yield us a different deformation quantization. To examine this we first of all solve (9) which now reads

$$r = \delta\mu + \delta^{-1}[\ d(\xi_\alpha \theta^\alpha - \zeta^\alpha \theta_\alpha) + dr + \frac{i}{\hbar} r \circ r \ ] \ , \tag{16}$$

by $\Gamma = 0$ and $\mathcal{R} = 0$. This time we have

$$d(\xi_\alpha \theta^\alpha - \zeta^\alpha \theta_\alpha) \neq 0 \ .$$

For any choice of μ (16) can be solved by iteration. However we expect that by a clever choice the solution is given by

$$r = \delta\mu + \delta^{-1}[d(\xi_\alpha \theta^\alpha - \zeta^\alpha \theta_\alpha)] \ ,$$

satisfying

$$dr + \frac{i}{\hbar} r \circ r = 0 \ . \tag{17}$$

This indeed happens when we choose μ as

$$\mu = A_\delta^{\beta\gamma}\, \xi_\beta \xi_\gamma \zeta^\delta + B^\delta_{\beta\gamma}\, \xi_\delta \zeta^\beta \zeta^\gamma + C^{\beta\gamma\delta}\, \xi_\beta \xi_\gamma \xi_\delta + D_{\beta\gamma\delta}\, \zeta^\beta \zeta^\gamma \zeta^\delta \ ,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\delta^{\beta\gamma} &= \frac{1}{3}(\partial_\delta h^{\beta\gamma} - \partial^\beta j_\delta^\gamma) + \frac{1}{2}(j_\sigma^\beta \tilde\partial_\delta h^{\sigma\gamma} - h^{\sigma\beta}\tilde\partial_\delta j^{\gamma\sigma}) \ , \\
B^\delta_{\beta\gamma} &= \frac{1}{3}(\partial_\beta f^\delta_\gamma - \partial^\delta g_{\beta\gamma}) - \frac{1}{2}(g_{\beta\sigma}\tilde\partial^\delta j^\sigma_\gamma - f^\sigma_\beta \tilde\partial^\delta g_{\sigma\gamma}) \ , \\
C^{\beta\gamma\delta} &= \frac{1}{6}(-j^\gamma_\sigma \tilde\partial^\delta h^{\sigma\delta} + h^{\sigma\gamma}\tilde\partial^\delta j^\delta_\sigma) \ , \\
D_{\beta\gamma\delta} &= \frac{1}{6}(g_{\gamma\sigma}\tilde\partial_\delta f^\sigma_\delta - f^\sigma_\gamma \tilde\partial_\delta g_{\sigma\delta}) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

by using the notation

$$\tilde\partial_\delta = g_{\delta\rho}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\rho} - f^\rho_\delta\,\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\rho}, \quad \tilde\partial^\delta = j^\delta_\rho\,\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\rho} - h^{\delta\rho}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\rho}.$$

The solution takes the form

$$r = \frac{1}{2}\{(h^{\beta\gamma}dj^\delta_\gamma - j^\beta_\gamma dh^{\delta\beta})\xi_\gamma\xi_\delta + (f^\delta_\beta dj^\beta_\gamma - g_{\gamma\beta}dh^{\delta\beta})\xi_\delta\zeta^\gamma + (g_{\gamma\beta}df^\beta_\delta - f^\beta_\gamma dg_{\delta\beta})\zeta^\gamma\zeta^\delta\}. \tag{19}$$

Using this solution for r we solve (7) to get the deformation in the form

$$a(p,q) \longrightarrow a(p,q;\xi,\zeta) = a(P(p,\xi), Q(q,\zeta)) \ .$$

After calculations we find the solution for P and Q in the simple forms

$$P_\alpha(p,\xi,\zeta) = p_\alpha + j^\beta_\alpha \xi_\beta - g_{\beta\alpha}\zeta^\beta \ , \qquad Q^\alpha(q,\xi,\zeta) = q^\alpha - h^{\beta\alpha}\xi_\beta + f^\alpha_\beta \zeta^\beta \ . \tag{20}$$

It is interesting to compare this deformation with (12), the one obtained in the local frame (11). We observe that the deformation coordinates are transformed by

$$\xi_\alpha \longrightarrow \ \ j^\beta_\alpha \xi_\beta - g_{\beta\alpha}\zeta^\beta \ , \qquad \zeta^\alpha \longrightarrow -h^{\beta\alpha}\xi_\beta + f^\alpha_\beta \zeta^\beta \ , \tag{21}$$

which is a symplectic transformation due to (15). Therefore the $\circ$ product (5) in the local frame (14) reduces to the one defined in the original frame (11). So does the $\star$ product. When $d\theta = 0$, these local frames are related with each other by a canonical transformation of the Darboux coordinates such as (13). In other words, when $d\theta = 0$, for the class of r given by (19) the $\star$ product becomes the Moyal product and invariant by any canonical transformation of the Darboux coordinates.

We shall give a concrete example for the Darboux coordinates in the case where $\mathcal{M}$ is the Kähler manifold. The Kähler manifold has local complex coordinates $z^\alpha = (z^1, z^2, \cdots, z^N)$ and their complex conjugates. The symplectic 2-form reduces to the Kähler 2-form given by

$$\omega = ig_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} dz^\alpha \wedge d\overline{z}^\beta = i\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \overline{z}^\beta} dz^\alpha \wedge d\overline{z}^\beta \ .$$

It can be put in the form

$$\omega = dp_\alpha \wedge dq^\alpha \ , \tag{22}$$

with

$$p_\alpha = i\frac{\partial K}{\partial \overline{z}^\alpha} \ , \qquad q^\alpha = \overline{z}^\alpha \ . \tag{23}$$

Hence they are the Darboux coordinates.

It is interesting to study the fuzzy Kähler coset space G/H in these Darboux coordinates, and examine the fuzzy algebrae of the Killing potentials. To this end we have to remind of the method for constructing the Kähler coset space G/H[9]. We consider the irreducible case. Then the group G have generators $T^A = \{X_\alpha, \overline{X}^\alpha, H^i, Y\}$ which satisfy the Lie-algebra

$$\begin{aligned} [X_\alpha, \overline{X}^\beta] &= t(\Gamma^i)_\alpha^{\ \beta} H^i + s\delta_\alpha^\beta Y, \qquad [X_\alpha, X_\beta] \ = \ 0, \\ [X_\alpha, H^i] &= (\Gamma^i)_\alpha^{\ \beta} X_\beta, \qquad [X_\alpha, Y] \ = \ X_\alpha, \quad c.c., \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

with some constants t and s depending on the representation of G. Here X_α and $\overline{X}^\alpha$ are coset generators. In the method of ref. [9] the local coordinates of G/H are denoted by z_α and $\overline{z}^\alpha$, where upper or lower indices stand for complex conjugation. Therefore raising or lowering tensor indices should be done by writing the metrics $g_\alpha^{\ \beta}$ or $(g^{-1})_\alpha^{\ \beta}$ explicitly. Simple algebra gives

$$[X_\alpha, [X_\gamma, \overline{X}^\beta]] = \{t(\Gamma^i)_\alpha^{\ \beta}(\Gamma^i)_\gamma^{\ \delta} + s\delta_\alpha^\beta \delta_\gamma^\delta)\} X_\delta \equiv M_{\alpha\gamma}^{\beta\delta} X_\delta. \tag{25}$$

The quantity $M_{\alpha\gamma}^{\beta\delta}$ plays a key role in the method and has a remarkable property. It is summarized by the statement that

$$M_{\alpha_0\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1\beta_1} M_{\alpha_2\beta_1}^{\gamma_2\beta_2} \cdots M_{\alpha_{n-1}\beta_{n-2}}^{\gamma_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}} M_{\alpha_n\beta_{n-1}}^{\gamma_n\beta_n} \tag{26}$$

is completely symmetric in the indices $(\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n, \beta_n)$, whenever it is completely symmetrized in the indices $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)$, and vice versa. The Killing vectors $R_{A\alpha}$ $(\overline{R}^{A\alpha})$ of the coset apace G/H are non-linear realizations of the Lie-algebra (24) on z_α $(\overline{z}^\alpha)$:

$$R^A_{\ \alpha} \equiv -i[T^A, z_\alpha], \quad c.c., \tag{27}$$

which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R^\gamma_{\ \alpha} &= i\delta^\gamma_\alpha, \qquad R_{\gamma\alpha} \ = \ \frac{i}{2} M^{\beta\delta}_{\alpha\gamma} z_\beta z_\delta, \\ R^i_{\ \alpha} &= i(\Gamma^i)^\beta_\alpha z_\beta, \qquad R_\alpha \ = \ i z_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The Kähler potential takes the form

$$K(z, \overline{z}) = \overline{z} \frac{1}{Q} \log(1+Q) z, \tag{28}$$

where the semi-positive definite matrix Q^β_α is defined by

$$Q^\beta_\alpha = -\frac{1}{2} M^{\beta\delta}_{\alpha\gamma} \overline{z}^\gamma z_\delta.$$

By expanding the logarithm in (28) in powers of Q it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} K(z, \overline{z}) &= \overline{z}(1 - \frac{1}{2}Q + \frac{1}{3}Q^2 - \cdots\cdots) z \\ &= \overline{z} z - \frac{1}{2!}[X_\alpha, z_\beta]\overline{z}^\alpha \overline{z}^\beta + \frac{1}{3!}[X_\alpha, [X_\beta, z_\gamma]]\overline{z}^\alpha \overline{z}^\beta \overline{z}^\gamma \cdots \ . \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

The last line follows upon using the symmetry property of (26).

We take a particular normalization of the Lie-algebra (24) such that $t = s = -1$. We then find the explicit form of the Killing potentials M^A:

$$\begin{aligned} K_\alpha &= (\frac{1}{1+Q} z)_\alpha, \qquad & \overline{K}^\alpha &= (\overline{z} \frac{1}{1+Q})^\alpha, \\ M^i &= \overline{K} \Gamma^i z \ = \ \overline{z} \Gamma^i K, \qquad & M &= \overline{K} z - 1 \ = \ \overline{z} K - 1 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

In the third equation use was made of the formula $\overline{z}\Gamma^i Q^n z = \overline{z} Q^n \Gamma^i z$. They indeed transform according to (24) under the Lie-variation. It suffices to show the transformations

$$\mathcal{L}_{R_\gamma} K_\alpha = 0, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{R_\gamma} \overline{K}^\alpha = i[(\Gamma^i)^\alpha_\gamma M^i + \delta^\alpha_\gamma M] \ . \tag{31}$$

Other transformations trivially follow from these. The Lie-variations in (31) can be written with the commutator defined by (27):

$$\mathcal{L}_{R_\gamma} K_\alpha = -i[X_\gamma, K_\alpha], \qquad \mathcal{L}_{R_\gamma} \overline{K}^\alpha = -i[X_\gamma, \overline{K}^\alpha] \ . \tag{32}$$

Note the formulae for K_α and $\overline{K}^\alpha$:

$$K_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}^\alpha} K = z_\alpha - [X_\alpha, z_\beta]\overline{z}^\beta + \frac{1}{2!}[X_\alpha, [X_\beta, z_\gamma]]\overline{z}^\beta \overline{z}^\gamma - \cdots\cdots \ , \tag{33}$$

$$\overline{K}^\alpha = \overline{z}^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} M^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta} \overline{z}^\gamma \overline{z}^\delta K_\beta \ . \tag{34}$$

The last formula follows by calculating as

$$\frac{1}{2} M^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta} \overline{z}^\gamma \overline{z}^\delta K_\beta = \frac{1}{2} M^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta} \overline{z}^\gamma \overline{z}^\delta (\frac{1}{1+Q} z)_\beta = \frac{1}{2} (\overline{z} \frac{1}{1+Q})^\gamma M^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta} \overline{z}^\gamma \overline{z}^\delta \overline{z}_\beta \ ,$$

with recourse to the symmetry property of the multiple product (26). Calculating the commutators in (32) by (33) and (34) we obtain (31). Finally we may check that

$$\begin{aligned} M^A M^A &= 2\overline{K}^\alpha K_\alpha + M^i M^i \ + \ MM \\ &= 2\overline{z}(\frac{1}{1+Q})^2 z \ + \ \overline{K}\Gamma^i z \cdot \overline{z}\Gamma^i K \ + \ \overline{K} z \cdot \overline{z} K \ - \ 2\overline{K} z \ + \ 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By using (33) we find that the Darboux coordinates are given by

$$p_\alpha = iK_\alpha \ , \qquad q^\alpha = \overline{z}^\alpha \ ,$$

according to (23) and (33). In terms of the Darboux coordinates the Killing potentials in (30) take the forms

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{K}^\alpha = q^\alpha - \frac{i}{2} M^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta} q^\gamma q^\delta p_\beta \ , \qquad K_\alpha = -ip_\alpha \ , \\ &M^i = -iq\Gamma^i p \ , \qquad M = -iqp - 1 \ , \end{aligned}$$

where use is made of (34). In the Darboux coordinates we may choose the ordinary Moyal product as the $\star$ product, as has been discussed. Little calculation shows that these Killing potentials $M^A(p,q)$ satisfy the fuzzy algebrae

$$[M^A(p,q), M^B(p,q)]_\star = -i\hbar f^{ABC} M^C(p,q), \tag{35}$$

$$M^A(p,q) \star M^A(p,q) = 1 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2}(tr\Gamma^i\Gamma^i + N) \ , \tag{36}$$

which are much simpler than (1) and (2). This calculation may be done in any other set of the Darboux coordinates, say p' and q'. The transformation of the coordinates induces the symplectic isomorphism of the local frame as given by (14) and (15). According to the arguments which followed in that paragraph, the quantum deformations of $M^A(p',q')$ and $M^A(p,q)$ in the respective local frames (dp', dq') and (dp, dq) can be related by the symplectic transformation (21). Therefore the simple form of the fuzzy algebrae (35) and (36) are invariant by the transformation (13).

Finally we apply the whole arguments in this letter for $CP^N(=U(N+1)/U(N)\otimes U(1))$. The generators of $U(N+1)$ are decomposed as $T^A=\{X_\alpha,\overline{X}^\alpha,H^\beta_\alpha,Y\}$. They satisfy the Lie-algebra (24) with $(H^\beta_\alpha)^\delta_\gamma=-\delta^\delta_\alpha\delta^\beta_\gamma$ and $t=s=-1$. The quantity $M^{\beta\delta}_{\alpha\gamma}$, defined by (25), takes the form

$$M^{\beta\delta}_{\alpha\gamma}=-\delta^\beta_\alpha\delta^\delta_\gamma-\delta^\delta_\alpha\delta^\beta_\gamma\ .$$

Then we find the Kähler potential $K=\log(1+\overline{z}z)$ from (29) and the Killing potentials[10]

$$\begin{aligned} K_\alpha&=\frac{z_\alpha}{1+\overline{z}z}, & \overline{K}^\alpha&=\frac{\overline{z}^\alpha}{1+\overline{z}z},\\ M^\beta_\alpha&=\frac{z_\alpha\overline{z}^\beta}{1+\overline{z}z}\ , & M&=-\frac{1}{1+\overline{z}z}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

from (30). The Darboux coordinates are given by

$$p_\alpha=i\frac{z_\alpha}{1+\overline{z}z},\quad q^\alpha=\overline{z}^\alpha,$$

with which the Killing potentials (37) are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} K_\alpha&=-ip_\alpha, & \overline{K}^\alpha&=q^\alpha(1+iqp),\\ M^\beta_\alpha&=-ip_\alpha q^\beta, & M&=-iqp-1\ . \end{aligned}$$

We may be interested in the real coordinates

$$p'_\alpha=\frac{\overline{z}^\alpha z_\alpha}{1+\overline{z}z},\qquad q'^\alpha=\frac{1}{2i}\log\frac{z_\alpha}{\overline{z}^\alpha}\ ,\qquad \text{(no sum over }\alpha)\ ,$$

which are regarded as radial and angle coordinates for a fixed α. They are also the Darboux coordinates because

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^N dp'_\alpha\wedge dq'^\alpha=\sum_{\alpha=1}^N dp_\alpha\wedge dq^\alpha\ .$$

Both Darboux coordinates are related by a canonical transformation such that

$$p'_\alpha=-iq^\alpha p_\alpha\ ,\qquad q'^\alpha=\frac{1}{2i}[\log\frac{p_\alpha}{q^\alpha}-\log(1+iqp)-\frac{\pi}{2}i]\ , \tag{38}$$

or

$$p_\alpha=\sqrt{(P'-1)p'_\alpha}\,e^{iq'^\alpha}\ ,\qquad q^\alpha=\sqrt{\frac{p'_\alpha}{1-P'}}\,e^{-iq'^\alpha}\ ,$$

with no sum over α and $P'=\sum_\alpha p'_\alpha$. The Killing potentials may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} K_\alpha&=\sqrt{(1-P')p'_\alpha}\,e^{iq'^\alpha}, & \overline{K}^\alpha&=\sqrt{(1-P')p'_\alpha}\,e^{-iq'^\alpha}\ ,\\ M^\beta_\alpha&=\sqrt{p'_\alpha p'_\beta}\,e^{i(q'^\alpha-q'^\beta)}, & M&=-(1-P')\ , \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

with no sum over α and β. We study the fuzzy algebrae of the Killing potentials (39) with the Moyal product in the coordinates p' andq'. Obviously the canonical transformation

(38) induces a symplectic isomorphism between (dp, dq) and (dp', dq') as given by (14). Therefore the Killing potentials satisfy the fuzzy algebrae (35) and (36) in the coordinates p' and q' as well. We have also checked this by a direct calculation with (39).

For CP^1 we may furthermore transform the local frame (dp', dq') to (θ^1, θ^2) as

$$\theta^1 = \frac{1}{r} dp' \ , \qquad \theta^2 = r dq' \ , \tag{40}$$

where $r = \sqrt{\overline{z}z}$. The transformation is symplectic so that the fuzzy algebrae (35) and (36) still remain to be the same even in the local frame (40). In ref. [11] the deformation quantization of CP^1 was discussed in this local frame and the same fuzzy algebrae were obtained. However note that (40) does not induce a canonical transformation of the Darboux coordinates (p', q') at all, because $d\theta^2 \neq 0$.

In this letter we have identified the class of the 1-form differential r for which the $\star$ product naturally reduces to the ordinary Moyal product by taking appropriate Darboux coordinates (p, q). It was shown that the Moyal product thus obtained is invariant in whichever local frame θ we work, as long as the isomorphism $(dp, dq) \to \theta$ is symplectic. When $d\theta = 0$, the isomorphism is nothing but a canonical transformation of the Darboux coordinates (p, q). Owing to this invariance of the Moyal product we were able to show that for the irreducible Kähler coset space G/H the Killing potentials satisfy the fuzzy algebrae (35) and (36) in any set of Darboux coordinates. It is desirable to generalize the arguments for the reducible case[12].

Acknowledgements

One of the authors (T.M.) thanks T. Asakawa, I. Kishimoto and S. Watamura for the discussions. His work was supported in part by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

References

[1] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S.H. Shenker and L. Susskind, "M Theory of a Matrix Model: A Conjecture ", Phys. Rev. **55**(1997)5112, hep-th/9610043;

N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchiya, "A Large-N Reduced Model as Superstring", Nucl. Phys. **B498**(1997)467, hep-th/9612115;

A. Connes, M.R. Douglas and A. Schwarz, "Noncommutative Geometry and Matrix Theory: Compactification on Tori", JHEP **9802**(1998)003, hep-th/9711162;

N. Seiberg and E. Witten, "String Theory and Noncommutative Geometry", JHEP **9909**(1999)032, hep-th/9908142.

[2] M.R. Douglas and N.A. Nekrasov, "Noncommutative Field Theory", hep-th/0106048.

[3] M. Kontsevitch, "Deformation Quantization of Poisson Manifolds, I", q-alg/9709040;

A.S. Cattaneo and G. Felder, "A Path integral Approach to the Kontsevitch Quantization Formula", Comm. Math. Phys. **212**(2000)591, math.QA/9902090;

U. Carow-Watamura and S. Watamura, "Noncommutative Geometry and Gauged Theory on Fuzzy Sphere", Comm. Math. Phys. **212**(2000)395, hep-th/9801195;

A. Alekseev, A. Recknagel and V. Schomerus, "Open Strings and Non-commutative Geometry of Branes on Group Manifolds", Mod. Phys. Lett. **A16**(2001)325, hep-th/0104054;

L. Cornalba and R. Schiappa, "Nonassociative Star Product Deformations for D-Brane Worldvolumes in Curved Backgrounds", hep-th/0101219;

N. Reshetikhin and L.A. Takhtajan, "Deformation Quantization of Kähler manifolds", math.QA/9907171.

[4] B.V. Fedosov, "A Simple Geometrical Construction of Deformation Quantization", J. Diff. Geom. **40**(1994)213;

B.V. Fedosov, "Deformation Quantization and Index theory", Berlin, Germany : Akademie-Verl. (1996) (Mathematical Topics : **9**).

[5] S. Aoyama and T. Masuda, "The Fuzzy Kähler Coset Space by the Fedosov Formalism", Phys. Lett. **514B**(2001)385, hep-th/0105271.

[6] J. Bagger and E. Witten, "The Gauge Invariant Supersymmetric Nonlinear Sigma Model", Phys. Lett. **118B**(1982)103.

[7] S. Aoyama, "A New Visualization of the Gauged Supersymmetric σ-model by Killing Potentials", Z. Phys. C. **32**(1986)113.

[8] T. Asakawa and I. Kishimoto, "Noncommutative Gauge Theories from Deformation Quantization", Nucl. Phys. **B591**(2000)611, hep-th/0002138.

[9] J. Achiman, S. Aoyama and J.W. van Holten, "The Non-linear Supersymmetric σ-model on $E_6/SO(10)\otimes U(1)$", Phys. Lett. **141B**(1984)64; "Gauged Supersymmetric σ-models and $E_6/SO(10)\otimes U(1)$", Nucl. Phys. **B258**(1985)179.

[10] J. Achiman, S. Aoyama and J.W. van Holten, "Symmetry Breaking in Gauged Supersymmetric Sigma-models", Phys. Lett. **150B**(1985)153.

[11] I. Kishimoto, "Fuzzy Sphere and Hyperbolic Space from Deformation Quantization", JHEP **0103**(2001)025, hep-th/0103018.

[12] S. Aoyama, "The Four-fermi Coupling of the Supersymmetric Non-linear σ-model on $G/S\otimes\{U(1)\}^k$ ", Nucl. Phys. **B578**(2000)449, hep-th/0001160.